Public Meeting	August 4, 2011
	1
**************************************	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
GULFCO MARINE MAINTENANCE SUP	ERFUND SITE
FREEPORT, BRAZORIA COUNTY	, TEXAS
AUGUST 4, 2011	
HELD AT VELASCO COMMUNITY	



Toll Free: 800.767.9532 Facsimile: 713-234-1917

Suite 805 1001 McKinney Houston, TX 77002 www.esquiresolutions.com

2.1

MR. SANTOS: Good evening. It guess we're ready to start the public meeting for the Gulf Superfund site. We appreciate all of you that are attending this meeting and taking time to be here. My name is Carlos Santos. I'm chief of Arkansas/Texas Superfund section.

We have Gary Miller, the RPM for the site. He will be making a short presentation on the site; and then, afterwards we will take comments and questions. We will have a court reporter that will take all the comments and questions that, you know, are made at this public meeting; and we'll go to the questions and comments. But then we'll make a formal response in what we call a response summary. That is part of the record decision for the site.

We want to recognize a few other people that are here in attendance. From the Texas Commission of Environmental, we have Lou Vasquez, Michael Montgomery. Also from the Texas Department of Health or Texas Services, we have Dr. Carrie Bradford, Tina Walker and David Rivera.

Also from the City, we have Jeff Pynes, city manager. Thank you.

Do we have other representatives from the city here with us?

MR. PYNES: We have Councilwoman Nicole



Mireles. She's our mayor pro tem as well.

MR. SANTOS: Welcome.

MR. PYNES: And our fire chief and emergency manager coordinator and EMS director and my assistants, also.

MR. SANTOS: Okay. Well, thank you all for being here. We appreciate you being here and participating in this public meeting. So, we'll get started. I guess Gary will make a short presentation, and then we'll get to the questions and answers.

This public meeting is mainly to hear your comments, concerns, recommendations, questions. So, that's really the purpose of this public meeting, to get input from the community; but Gary will make a short presentation on the site.

MR. MILLER: Thank you. Hello, everybody. I appreciate you coming out tonight and showing interest in the site. This is the Gulfco Superfund Site, Gulfco Marine Maintenance, and we're going to talk about the investigations that were done out there, what the results were, what the examination is and what the risks that were identified. After that we're going to talk about what the clean-up objectives are and then the alternatives for cleaning up the site.

Here's a map of the site, and it's



located -- Gulfco is located -- there's the site and it's right on the north side of the intercostal waterway. And the City of Freeport is over in this area. Here's Surfside. Of course, the Gulf of Mexico is down here.

Here is a more close-up map of the site, and it shows a couple of areas I wanted to point out to you folks.

This area right down here is a former storage tank area, and those tanks were removed this year. And so, I'll talk a little bit more about that later. And then, this area up here is a former surface impoundment area. Those surface impoundments were used for storage to wash waters from the barge cleaning operation. They were closed approximately 30 years ago in 1982, but we'll be talking about that. That will be part of the remedy. We will get into later.

Okay. As far as the site history, the address is 906 Marlin Avenue in Freeport. The site covers about 40 acres. The main operation at the site was barge cleaning and repairs and that ran for almost 30 years from '71 to almost 2000. The barges contained a large number of chemicals -- oils, caustics, chlorinated solvents, a large number of different things. And as I said before, the washwaters from cleaning those barges are stored in those impoundments north of Marlin Avenue.



All right. Here's some of the history of it. August '82, the former impoundments were closed under state-approved plan. In 2003, the Gulfco site was add to the superfund list. That's a national priorities list, NPL. In 2005 EPA issued an order to the potentially responsible parties to require an investigation and feasibility study.

Now, we're -- that investigation has been completed and has the feasibility study. So, what we're going to talk about tonight is the results from that and proposed remedy that came out of the feasibility study.

Okay. This is just a quick slide to show the superfund process. First of all, the site is listed, which was done in 2003. But the first thing is the remedial investigation, and that's been done. Following the investigation, risk assessments are done; and then, a feasibility study is done to identify what the clean-up considerations might be.

Well, following that, a proposed plan is developed which summarizes all of that previous information. It's put out for public comment, and that's the purpose of this meeting right here is to receive comment on that proposed plan. And then, at the end of the comment period, we'll consider those public comments



along with all the other information we have from the site and we'll issue a record of decision and that's where the final clean-up remedy is selected for the site.

All right. So, we'll start off with the remedial investigation. There were a lot of samples collected at the site -- soils, groundwater, surface water, sediments. There's also some fish sampling. Just in general -- I'll get more into the contaminates later, but there are a large number. Some of the main ones were the chlorinated solvents. That's trichloroethylene -- Tetrachloroethylene, which is a dry cleaning fluid, and trichloroethylene.

All right. Now, I'm going to just briefly talk about the sampling was done; and by the way, this poster over here shows the site and all the samples that were collected. So, there were a lot of samples collected.

But anyway, for the soil and sediment, over 300 samples were collected. They found PCBs, metals, various things in some of the samples. In some of the samples there were no detects. Contamination reaches down to about 5 feet.

And one other thing I wanted to mention, over on the eastern end of the site, there were



August 4, 2011

additional samples collected from zero to one inch. I know one of the concerns of the community was the windblown dust. So, that was the purpose of those shallow samples, to see if there's anything in that shallow soil that maybe could be picked up.

Okay. Here's Marlin Avenue. Here's the intercostal waterway, and this the southern part of the site that covers about 20 acres. It's really hard to see; but if y'all can kind of see all these black dots, what those are, those are all the sample locations. So, there was a pretty fair coverage there. Those samples were taken in the top 6 inches between 1 and 2 feet and also in some areas between 4 and 5 feet. So, kind of get the spread of what is there.

Bridge Harbor which is right over here, and here's the Gulf Coast side over here. So, these were two tracts in here that were sampled. These samples in this area went down to 2 feet, but most of these samples over here were in the top 1 inch looking for that windblown dust. And what we did is we looked over here on the side at the source areas like there are some sandblasting areas and the shallow soil over here and the main thing we found there was lead. So, we came over here; and we looked for lead. And what we found out is most of the levels were



very low, like, for instance, these samples over here on this side next to Bridge Harbor were all less than 20. And just to kind of put that in perspective, our spring level and clean up level is somewhere between four and 500. Typically we don't clean up a site, a residential site, if it's less than 500. So, none of these samples were above 500. Most were very much lower. So, that's just kind of what we found there.

Okay. This is the northern area.

Here's Marlin Avenue and this is another 20 acres north

Marlin. Most of this area is wetlands. There's the

former impoundments. Again, these black dots are really
hard to see; but those were the sample locations. There

are some outside of the Gulfco property, some out here

and some out here as well. There are a number scattered
throughout this area.

This is a -- actually it's a brackish pond. It's about 4 feet deep. It's called a freshwater pond, but basically it is brackish.

Okay. Next I'm going to say a little bit about the groundwater investigations, and this is putting in the groundwater monitoring well. This looks like it's in the marsh north of Marlin Avenue.

So, on the groundwater there were 30 monitoring wells put in and 13 piezometers. Piezometers



were used to measure the water level so we could get the directional flow.

But anyway, what was found, there were three water bearing zones at least in the shallow part of it. Zone A was about 10 feet below the ground surface. The next one, Zone B, was about 19 feet down and the last one, Zone C, was 73 feet down. Now, these first two were silt and sand; but Zone C is really just kind of a crushed shell layer. It's only about one foot thick.

The one thing I wanted to point out, there's a thick low permeability clay layer between Zones B and C. So, what that would do, that thick clay layer in between here would prevent any deeper migration. I will just tell you right now there is contamination in Zone A. That is the most expensive contamination. There is a much smaller area of contamination in Zone B.

The other thing I wanted to say is all of three of these water zones are salt water. Their total dissolved solvents is greater than 30,000. So, it's not part of the water by any means.

All right. Most of the contaminants found were chlorinated solvents again and benzene. One of the concerns was because of the -- a lot of these materials are heavy. They are dense, and they will sink



through groundwater. So, one of the concerns is the non-aqueous phase liquid, or NAPL, might sink through the bottom of those zones and create a continuing source. So, anyway, in the course there were some I'm going to call them end zones of DNAPL, or dense NAPL, discovered at the bottom of Zones A and B. One thing that was encouraging is that in all those water wells, there was no NAPL observed. Now, some of these wells did have high concentration of contaminants in the water samples; but there was no free phase of these chemicals in those wells. So, what that means is at least in those areas there, it wasn't mobile enough to go in those wells. That's a good sign.

Okay. This map -- again, I apologize is hard to see but all these dots over here show all groundwater monitoring wells that are put in around the site and those three zones. Most of the wells were concentrated up there in the northern area because that's where the ground water plumes were found. The plumes did come from these former impoundments.

So, now, this map shows the area of contamination. This is the groundwater plume and Zone A. There is a small -- much smaller area in Zone B that's within this area. But this is -- this is what we're looking at. It's all north of Marlin Avenue. And



the other thing I wanted to say is these impoundments were put in there almost 40 years ago, and they were closed almost 30 years ago. So, this area is -- that's all the migration that has happened over the last 30 to 40 years.

All right. This slide shows the groundwater surface in the A zone, and the thing I wanted to pound out with this is groundwater moves. And what this is telling us is right here there's a groundwater divide, a high point in the groundwater. And north of this, it's flowing up to the north or to the west. And then south of that, it's flowing to the south towards the intercostal waterway. Now, the data for this was in December of 2007; and the thing about these groundwater flow directions, they do change.

Here's the same area six months later, and this was during a dry spell. What I wanted to point out here is before if you notice the groundwater is flowing to the intercostal. Here it's flowing out of the intercostal to the north. So, the main thing there is the concerns of that contaminant plume that we saw several slides back. So, to the extent that the groundwater is flowing to the intercostal, that's a concern because we don't want that plume to get to the intercostal. This type of stuff is helping us. It may



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be one of the reasons why the plume hasn't moved any farther than it has, because at times the flow is reversed.

There are another -- other Okay. investigations that were done, sediments from around the intercostal waterway of the surface water. thing I'll be talking about here in just a little bit is the fish and crab investigation. There were 33 samples collected of red fish, specks, flounders and blue crabs and around the site within the barge slips; and basically we didn't find anything, very low levels. And before I get into that, I did want to say, the ecological investigation; and what that consisted of is 25 toxicity tests. And I will get into that in a little bit. Basically that's where we take an area that we think has some contamination in it, and we put either worms or small crustaceans in there and see how they do. So, that's what those toxicity tests were.

This is a picture of -- it's a gill net of collecting the fish samples out in the intercostal waterway.

And this is a picture of some of the fish samples. Looks these are mostly flounders. There's a red fish. I'm not sure what that guy is over there.

Okay. So, anyway what we do with all



this: We get all this information, and we put a risk assessments together. Now, in the case of the fish sampling, the Texas Health Department also did an assessment of the fish and crab data and conclusion from that is they don't -- didn't expect to see any health effects associated with contaminants in those fish near the Gulf Coast side.

That's something else I should also point out. There are other advisories and bans. For instance, on shellfish, I believe there's a ban in the area, I think, on mackerel. There's an advisory to mercury, and that's spread throughout the whole Gulf Coast. So, anyway that's -- that was the results of the fish testing.

As far as the human population, we looked at a lot of different scenarios -- construction workers, trespassers, workers on the site -- to consider if there's any risk from the chemicals at the site; and the only thing that was found is if you remember that groundwater plume, the chemicals do migrate and vaporize out of that groundwater and rise to the surface. So, while there's no current risk from that, if there were a building to be constructed, those vapors would concentrate in that building. And there is a risk if that were done, if some building were built over that



groundwater plume. But as far as the soils and the sediments in the groundwater, there was no risk.

Now, I should point out in the groundwater, there were very high levels; but since it's not potable. It's salt water. There's no pathway.

Nobody is going to be drinking it.

Okay. So, no other unacceptable risks other than that vapor intrusion concern.

Okay. This is just more information about the ecological risk assessments. And just basically summarizing that, what we do is we do a screening level risk assessment based on the soil and sediment and water data. We did identify some areas that were of concern. So, the next step is to take those areas and do these toxicity tests; and what we found from the toxicity tests is there were no difference between the background levels. So, the results of that is there was no impact from the site level contaminants. So, as a result, there's no issue with the environment, just the human elements.

Okay. We did do -- I should point out that all this work has been done by the responsible parties under that order. So, under EPA and TWCEP oversight. So, they were the ones collecting all the samples and doing all this work. So, anyway here's a



picture of a tank farm. This shows what it looked like before the work started which, I believe, was November of last year. The tanks contained a number of hazardous substances that were unloaded from the barges. They have been there ever since the barge operation shut down.

But anyway, those were benzene, chloroform, TCE, a lot of different other things. The removal started in November of 2010; and what that consisted of is the tank contents were removed, disposed of off-site, hazardous waste disposal facilities. The tanks were decontaminated, demolished and removed. There was also some debris in there. That was also taken out and then area monitoring was done. We like to be sure there wasn't any vapors coming off of those tanks.

So, here's a picture of that. That's the hydraulic shears that's ripping off one of the tanks to get inside to decontaminate it. One thing we found is after the tanks were gone, there was soil below the tanks; and there was contamination in that soil to a depth of about 6 feet. So, anyway, that soil was removed. Samples were taken to confirm what the remaining contaminants were. It was acceptable. So, it was back filled with clean dirt. The -- there was a concrete berm around the tanks. So, that was pressure washed and decontaminated. And then, finally the walls



of the berms were breached. So, it won't continue to collect rainwater. As a result of that, over 800,000 pounds of hazardous substances were removed in 90,000 gallons of water. That's what was in those tanks.

Anyway all that work was completed for this year. And so, here's a follow-up picture. There was some roll-off containers. At this point they still had some waste in them, but they were subsequently shipped off site. All the tanks are gone.

So, what that brings us to is what about this remedial action? What were their objectives based on all that information? Well, one thing we want to do is we're concerned about that groundwater plume. We don't want it to move. So, we want to confirm that that plume is stable. We want to prevent any future indoor exposures from any building that may be built over that groundwater plume. One thing, the land is currently zoned as commercial and industrial. One side, the side next to the intercostal is waterfront, heavy water front and this the side north of Marlin is heavy industrial. So, anyway, all the risk assessments were based on using those scenarios. So, we want to prevent any other use than that.

Also, prevent groundwater use. Now, that groundwater is fine. There was some concern if some



industrial operation came in there and should pump that water for some reason, it may affect the plume stability. So, we didn't want anybody pumping any of that groundwater.

And then, finally the former impoundments, there was some sludge that was left in there, about 100 feet of sludge when they were closed. So, there was some concern about that. Now, that's currently capped. It's under 3 feet of clay, and part of that clay needs to be fixed. But anyway, we do -- it's part of the objective. We do want to prevent exposure to that residual material, anybody that may be on the site.

Okay. So, as a part of that, there were three remedial action alternatives worked out; and that's included in the feasibility study. The first one, Alternative 1, is a no action alternative. We do that for all the sites, and it just says what if we don't do anything? What will happen? Of course, we found out that's just not acceptable. It won't meet the objectives.

So, here's all Alternative 2.

Groundwater controls and monitoring. These are a summary of the components for that. Basically there are restrictive covenants in place that restrict the use of the property and required mitigation for any buildings



that should be built over that plume, but they need to be modified a little bit. The contaminants need to be identified and the location. So, that needs to be done.

Also, as a part, that includes the existing cap. Well, that existing cap does need to be maintained. So, there needs to be an operation maintenance plan to inspect and repair that thing as needed. Of course, the annual groundwater monitoring so we know what that plume is doing; and if it -- if we do find that it moves, we can take some additional action. Here's the operation and maintenance plan including the groundwater monitoring; and one thing we do on superfund sites where contamination is left on site, we do five-year reviews. Once every five years we'll go back and look at the site and look at all the data and analyze it and assess it and make sure it's still protective and if it's not, we will see what extract needs to be done.

All right. Alternative 3 that was also included in the feasibility study was groundwater containment. What this is, we said, "Okay. We're saying that that plume hadn't moved very far in the 30 to 40 years. What if it does move or what if it continues to move or whatever?"

So, this alternative is similar to the last one; but it includes some extra things. That's



Toll Free: 800.767.9532 Facsimile: 713-234-1917

Suite 805 1001 McKinney Houston, TX 77002 www.esquiresolutions.com

groundwater extraction wells. Basically pumping wells so we can pump out the plume and keep it from moving and shrink it. And then, of course, whenever you pump it, then you're going to have to treat and discharge it. So, you have to treat it to meet the discharge requirements. So, that would be the treatment plan. The rest of these other things are exactly the same as the last alternative, Alternative 2.

First, Alternative 1, no action, zero cost.

Alternative 2, just the monitoring groundwater controls, a little over \$200,000. And this is presently the most likely alternative. Alternative 3, the groundwater containment, it's going to be a lot more because of the

treatment plan and operations. That was 4.7 million.

All right. So, here are the costs.

All right. And superfund, we have these nine evaluation criteria that we look at, at these alternatives. The main thing is the alternative has to be protected. It's got to comply with the laws and standards that are relevant to whatever work is being done. Then there's some other criterias of balancing criteria, modifying criteria; but basically we look to see if it's effective in the long term, if it's implementable, what the cost is and some other things. But also, it's important for the state acceptance and



community. So, that's part of the reason why we're doing this.

All right. So, we are recommending Alternative 2 is the preferred alternative. Why are we doing that? As far as long-term effectiveness, it looks like it's got the greater effectiveness because he don't have to operate a plant; and anytime you are operating a plant you are going to have downtime and treatment and all that kind of stuff. Short-term effectiveness basically because there's very little construction, or actually no construction, that has to be done as compared to Alternative 3, which, you know, the plant would be built.

Same thing on implementability, and, of course, the cost. This cost is about 1/20th of Alternative 3. Now, I also need to point out this is kind of predicated on the fact that the plume hasn't moved very far. If we should come out at some point in the future and we find the plume is moving, then all bets are off; and we'll have to do something more aggressive to keep it out of the water. That's what we're thinking of right now.

Okay. So, just here's where we are at right now. We're in the public comment period, and that ends August 22. And we will -- we appreciate any



comments that we get from y'all and we'll consider those and respond to them and make our decision. But the final clean-up plan will be selected and the recommended decision; and then, we expect the issue to arrive sometime in September, or hopefully before the end of September or Carlos will shoot me. That's it. Any questions?

MR. PYNES: I have a couple. Looking at the different options, if -- you can take Option 2. So, basically what you're going to be creating is a dead zone that can't be developed, correct? Because you say you can't build a structure on it because you would have indoor contaminants for human health.

MR. MILLER: No, you could build a structure; but it would have to be mitigated. Then there's a lot of technology for mitigating to make the improvements like HVAC, suspend the vents in the underslab. So, it has to be mitigated. It's not necessarily that it can't be constructed.

MR. PYNES: In my perspective, it seems like Option 2 is the path of least resistance instead of holding the people accountable that did it and put it back the way they got it. So, on Option No. 3, although it's more money, they are going to be responsible for cleaning it up. Wouldn't it preserve the land and the



subsurface back to its original quality. 1 2 MR. MILLER: It would and, you know, the 3 reasons we're --4 MR. PYNES: So, really you have, in effect, 5 of affecting adjacent property owners if you only do it 6 halfway instead of doing it the right way. And you are 7 just prolonging something that most likely is going to be 8 a problem in the future. 9 MR. CASTILLE: Yeah, who decided Plan 2? 10 That was basically me. MR. MILLER: MR. SANTOS: It hasn't been decided. 11 It's 12 up for referral. 13 MR. MILLER: It's being proposed in the 14 recommendation. 15 MR. SANTOS: The decision gets made when 16 the regular decision is signed and then --17 MR. CASTILLE: We're looking at a long-term It has to be monitored forever? 18 deal? 19 MR. MILLER: Right. As far as clean-up, I don't 20 MR. SANTOS: 21 know if you can ever return it to original conditions. 22 One of the things we look at with these alternatives is 23 that is if they're protected, which we believe Alternative 2 is, and it also, you know, cost and all 24 25 those things get -- go into consideration. As Gary



indicated, there's a lot of things here that are evaluated on different alternatives. We look at all of those things as a preferred alternative is put forward to the community. So, it's indicated the first two protect the human health and environment. We believe this remedy does that. The tanks and those areas have been addressed. There's a cap over the material that, you know, there will be exposure. The ground water is not drinking water. The zone where the -- you know, it's two controls are going to be required. It's -- I -- relative to the size. It's a small area. So, you can develop or build anywhere, even on top of that area. So, there is, you know, a lot of area that can be developed if someone wants to do that.

MR. PYNES: But realistically if I got a pile of money and I want to develop it, do I want to develop it next to something that could be a problem and would affect my development?

MR. SANTOS: If there was no other land available, it might be worth it. I mean --

MR. PYNES: Option 2 is creating a dead zone.

MR. SANTOS: We have many other sites and many other areas where, you know, vapor mitigation systems are in place to prevent vapors going inside



buildings and house and things like that. So, those systems do work; and they are being used.

MR. MILLER: One thing I'd like to point out and get back to is that DNAPL presence, that free-phase liquid that's down there in the bottom of those deeper zones. We could control the plume. We could keep it from moving, and we could actually shrink it. But what is going to happen is that the DNAPL is going to continue to dissolve and our experience has been at the DNAPL sites, it's very hard to clean up those plumes. So, there would be an area of contamination for probably a long time.

Yes, it would probably be smaller; but with that DNAPL continuing to dissolve in the ground water, that's frankly one of the concerns about Alternative 2 because it is dissolving right now. And so, why has it stopped or why hasn't moved any farther than it has? Well, it's a tight zone. It's got very little permeability. It's not going to move very fast. Another thing is that the groundwater flow changes. You know, sometimes when it's dry, the actual water backs up. So, that tends to push the plume back.

And I didn't mention this before but during the investigation, there is also some biological testing done to see if there's any natural biological



August 4, 2011

degradation; and it looks like there is. So, that may be another thing that is helping us to keep that plume from moving. You know, we could shrink it; but whether we could actually totally remove it, it would be very tough.

MR. PYNES: Under Alternative 3, if you did that, would it require any less mitigation for any future development?

MR. MILLER: There would have to be vapor intrusion mitigation.

MR. PYNES: Even with Option 3?

MR. MILLER: Yes, even with Option 3.

MR. SANTOS: Because D-NAPL materials, they can be found. They move slowly, and it would take a lot of pumping over many years. And, you know, even this vapor potential, it's a potential. And we look at very worst case scenario, we don't know for sure that would be a problem if a building is located on top of this plume, you know, to begin with. But we look at a worst case scenario and that's why we're mentioning it as a potential. We don't know for sure if that's what actually happened.

MR. PYNES: I don't want to speak for Councilwoman Mireles. I think from my perspective and maybe some property there in that area, we would rather the responsible party put it back the way they found it



and before it was contaminated. Really the money option is not the state or the EPA. It's the person responsible. So, that shouldn't be the ultimate decision from my perspective. It should be like if you made a mistake, it's your responsibility to fix it and not push it down the line for somebody else to deal with it.

MR. SANTOS: Well, when we look at all the Superfund sites, whether it's Federally-funded or procurement funded, we don't really consider that. We consider this protection on all of these sites. So, whether -- even if the government is not paying for it, we have to treat a site equally and look at it from the standpoint of whether it's protecting the human health and environment. That's the main criteria. Cost or who pays for it, that's, you know, down the bottom of the list.

So, we don't -- just because a site is a PRP site, we don't say, well, we don't care because they're paying for it. Well, that's not how we look at sites. We look at the -- the main thing is for the site to be protected and we believe that that is the case on that for Alternative No. 2.

MR. PYNES: Alternative No. 2 and the five-year testing, who is responsible for that, the responsible parties, for the testing themselves.



MR. SANTOS: No. The EPA makes the final determination. They gather the data. EPA puts together the report and makes the determination whether it's protected or not. So, be -- they may be gathering the data information; but they don't make the decision or the selection of the remedy for the site. They work together with the state. So, they may be doing the work or gathering information; but the EPA with the state makes those decisions. And again, the five-year review is to fully evaluate the remedy. If at the five-year review, something is happening that we didn't anticipate, we can change it. We can do it where we basically start it all over and select some other remedy that would address whatever the issue is.

MR. PYNES: How long has it been there?

MR. MILLER: They started in '71, I think.

MR. PYNES: That's kind of my point. It's been there since '71. I just think it should be cleaned up personally. I mean, I love the environment. I love the outdoors. I love the coastal environment that our community has to offer; but when you throw that in the mix, it doesn't just have an effect on the site, it's going to have an effect on anybody that learns about the site and they know Freeport has got these things or whatever it is. I think overall it's a detractor for the



2.1

environment that we have.

MR. SANTOS: We'll consider your comments and your recommendations along with, you know, others that we receive and, you know, whatever. Also, you know, people can also mail us. If they don't want to speak up here at the meeting, they can send you us comments, you know, up to the August 22nd and all of those things will be considered together and then we'll present -- and if you need more information, you know, once the decision is made on what -- why we chose whatever alternative we selected as the record decision.

MR. PYNES: Is there another meeting that will be in Austin somewhere that will present the final decision?

MR. SANTOS: No, we don't have another meeting after this.

MR. PYNES: Okay.

MR. SANTOS: And the decision or selection will be placed -- I believe the notice is paid for or printed in the newspaper that announces the final decision that's made.

MR. PYNES: Okay.

MR. CASTILLE: Gary, let me ask you a question: I don't notice any newspaper here. Did y'all get ahold of them, by any chance?



MR. MILLER: There was two newspaper ads that were put out about this meeting, and there was also a press release that was sent around to the media.

MR. CASTILLE: I'm surprised they're not here. This has been -- this has been headlines.

MR. PYNES: Well, they might not want to be here because this is something positive to resolve something.

MR. CASTILLE: Along with some other issues. But years ago they did headlines on this, you know; and now you don't see anything. A Superfund Site is a major issue, you know, around the country; and we --let's say at first we got all the PR, if you want to call it PR, on this project, heavy, heavy, you know, headlines. And now, lately we hear nothing. We don't even have the residents here from Bridge Harbor.

MR. PYNES: We sent out a message to everybody within a radius of this site and notified them of the message.

MS. MIRELES: He sent out the message.

MR. CASTILLE: Did the message go out over the telephone? Of course, we have some absentee people and people that live there.

MR. PYNES: People update their information on the CT website for the city, and we sent it out TO



everybody in close proximity.

MR. MILLER: You know, we did mail out the notice; and I do know a number of people didn't get it. Some did, and some didn't. You know, it was mailed out on the 22nd. I guess we needed to -- should have mailed it out earlier.

MR. CASTILLE: I passed it out myself.

People don't care. It's not hard to figure out.

MR. DAVIS: I'm curious. Obviously these things happen all over the nation, and you kind of go from site to site. I'm assuming that they all generally have the same option of do nothing, go all the way or go somewhere in the middle. I'm curious if you have any statistics on, say, in the last 15 years how many sites there have been, how many took the middle of the road and how many have had maybe three five-year periods and found that it didn't work and we had to go all the way anyway.

MR. MILLER: Carlos is our national -- maybe I shouldn't say this. I don't have any statistics, but maybe he has some.

MR. SANTOS: It varies. I mean, it varies throughout the country; and if this ground water contamination was in a potential drinking water source, we would be pumping it right now. So, it makes a difference on what the environment is and how the plume



is moving. If it was moving and you had residential private wells close by. So, it depends on the situation, not just the contamination you have. It is the environment around the -- the plume and where it's headed. So, this has been done similar to this in other locations, this type of remedy where it is -- if the plume is not expanding or moving and it's considered stable, as this is because it's been there for 30 years and you have a clay layer that is not going to a deeper zone. So, all of those -- and it varies throughout the country.

So, this remedy has been selected at other locations; and some of them, they pump and do NC2, you know, stabilization. I mean, it varies. It varies throughout the country. So, it's hard to compare this situation to something else because even on the other areas that are selected similar to this, it was maybe done for a different reason.

MR. CASTILLE: Can you put two back up there again? Can you flash two back up there again?

MR. PYNES: Based on the contamination by the responsible parties, were there any fines levied for the contamination?

MR. SANTOS: We don't issue fines in the Superfund. The fines are generally issued when the



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

facilities and operation and they, you know, caused this or are not maintaining --

MR. PYNES: So, if you contaminate and get out of town, you're in good shape.

MS. MIRELES: Yeah.

MR. CASTILLE: I can answer the question.

MR. SANTOS: No, they have -- you know, they clean up the tanks. They are paying for EPA to do all this work. They are paying for all the sampling. They will be paying to monitor the sites. I don't think -- I don't see it as, you know, get out of jail type card. I mean, there is --

MR. PYNES: I was curious because I talked to other industries in our area, a couple of others, that have had strong fines levied by the state monitoring their activities. I was wondering if they have done something wrong or are they being held accountable.

MR. CASTILLE: I can answer your question going back 10 years ago. The DA only fined Hercules I think it was \$10,000, to give an example.

MR. PYNES: Okay.

MR. CASTILLE: Couldn't have been, I think, 3 million or something like that. They got off paying 10,000, to give you a little background there. It wasn't their deal. That was the state's deal.



party is?

MR. SANTOS: I mean, all situations are
different. Here you may have had an operator that, you
know, improperly disposed of waste materials. The people
that are paying for the clean-up are not the people that
are operated or were involved with how the facility
operated. There are people that maybe took barges to get
cleaned up that they, I assume, thought it was a
legitimate business and they had good practices. And so,
you know, we have what we call a particular responsible
party; but they are not fully liable for how the spill or
how the disposal was made at the site. But they're still
responsible, and they will clean pay for clean-up.

MR. PYNES: Can you say who that is?

MR. SANTOS: Who the potential responsible

MR. PYNES: Yeah.

MR. SANTOS: We have a list of several of them. Their names are in the order that was sent.

There's a -- I don't know right offhand.

MR. MILLER: They were Dow and Harper and Seagulf who is tied to Hercules in some kind of way. Oh LDL, who is a current owner of most of it. And then, there are also several individuals that own one of those tracts that didn't really have anything to do with.

MR. PYNES: Y'all have a list compiled?



MR. MILLER: Yes, it is the list.

MR. SANTOS: And, you know, it's on the unilateral order, the people that are -- you know, were ordered to clean out the site and that information is available and in the repository.

MR. MILLER: Yes, it is. And I can -- if anybody would like to have it, I can e-mail it to you; and my e-mail and phone number are on some of these handouts. And so, send me an e-mail; and I will be glad.

MS. HOEY: Is it on the website?

MR. SANTOS: I don't think that the unilateral order is on the website.

MR. MOTLEY: I have a question.

MR. SANTOS: Yes, sir.

MR. MOTLEY: Is the property still mainly used by the responsible parties, or is it just open land from this point forward and monitored? Can it be developed with restrictions on it?

MR. SANTOS: It can be developed with the restrictions on it. I mean, as Gary indicated, with certain, you know, precautions. We have restrictions outside of where the plume is also not drilling or putting in wells in some of those areas. So, they're not the same restrictions; but there are restrictions. We



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

have a property owner out there --

MR. MILLER: That's LDL.

MR. SANTOS: LDL. They still own that property, and they can develop it for commercial or industrial uses. So, there will be -- the owners still own that property.

MR. MOTLEY: Is there any impact if we had a high coastal tide with hurricane or storage surges to worry about that plume heading off the surface area.

MR. SANTOS: We don't think that that would be the case. We had a hurricane that came through.

MR. MILLER: The site was under water several years ago. And, of course, we don't have any information before then; but, you know, we can see what it is after that. And, you know, this is down below ground and when we have tides coming in, it will affect the flow. But that zone is tight. So, it's not going to move very fast. So --

MR. CASTILLE: Gary, let me ask you, the contaminants other than the plume, now what -- give us an update -- a clear update again. We had some ground surface contamination, right?

MR. MILLER: Yeah, there were metals.

There were PCBs.

MR. CASTILLE: They're not cleaned up



though?

MR. MILLER: No. They were at low levels; and based on risk assessment, the risk was -- it was low risk. They are there, but the risk was low. So -- and they were scattered. It's not like every sample had them and there were a number of samples that not detected and these hits were just kind of scattered around.

MR. PYNES: If you do Option 2 and do the five-year testing, does the city get follow-up reports on that?

MR. SANTOS: Yes. Yes. And the EPA will be doing the review together with the state and the responsible parties. Again, they will pay for the work and the evaluation that is done and the city is welcome to join.

MR. MILLER: There will be sample records.

Right now there's a distribution list that all those documents go to. So, we can certainly add the city on to that.

MR. CASTILLE: I got a question -- go ahead.

MR. SANTOS: We have a repository where we put out all the information that's gathered from the site. And when they're doing the ground water monitoring, that data, we will be putting in the



repository. We have documents on the site available to the public.

MR. CASTILLE: Gary, between Bridge Harbor and the site, there is an existing old marina.

MR. MILLER: Right.

MR. CASTILLE: With the water up in there.

MR. MILLER: Right.

MR. CASTILLE: That area, what kind of investigation -- ground results did you get? I know these people had to experience the flow off the site into that property. Okay? So, I really -- you know, and I watched it for 30 years and I really am surprised that there's not a whole lot more contamination in the water or in the -- you know, the mud below the water line.

MR. MILLER: You know, one of our concerns was like, for instance, the settlement right here on site. Here's the former marina Bob had just mentioned. There were -- these samples over here go from the surface to a depth of about 2 feet, and the purpose of these things was to get to extend. And so, that does that all these over here plus over here. Those are the small low one-inch sample for lead; and those were all low. Now, there is some contamination over here; but again, it's low and scattered.

MR. CASTILLE: We've experienced, you know,



25 years probably since we sealed this deal of contamination airborne primarily. Okay? You know, coming towards the Bridge Harbor Subdivision, the homes over there. And I am really surprised the big area over there, what's left of the big water area, isn't highly, highly contaminated. That really puzzles me.

MR. MILLER: Yeah, those numbers up there are lead concentrations. So, I will just -- like -- let me point to them. Like this one right here, that is -- I apologize. That's not on this one. Anyway, I do recall that all of these samples were all under 20 parts per million.

MR. CASTILLE: We had airborne covering the whole subdivision for years, way before I got there.

Going back to what? The Sixties or whatever. That's why I'm really surprised.

MR. MILLER: You know, we did find, there's zinc and there's an aluminum and iron, you know; but as far as the more toxic metals like lead and organic type things, we just didn't find it.

MR. CASTILLE: It was lead paint that was removed from those barges for many years, 40 years, you know.

MR. ARIPSE: I got a question. A lot of this stuff that you're mentioning, the environment, what



2.1

are some of the health effects that you would see if you came in contact with this stuff. What can you expect?

MR. MILLER: Well, just in general -- and maybe the state health folks can help. In general, those are carcinogens and some of them are very nasty carcinogens. They used to call them toxic. Now, we call them mind carcinogens. They're not cancerous but other type actions. If they were high enough in concentration, they would be very toxic and very much cancerous.

MR. ARIPSE: A lot of them accumulate.

Even though it's just a small amount over time, they will accumulate in the body and reach that peak. A lot of them that you mentioned are like that. What are some of the effects that you see besides cancer?

DR. BRADFORD: Between the different metals and everything you can have a whole slew of different kind of effects. You can give the information about each chemical if you wanted to.

MR. ARIPSE: Just for the residents themselves that are experiencing that stuff.

DR. BRADFORD: It's really hard to say I have this, and it's caused from this. It's not like some kind of surgery. I can do biological testing, but a lot of this has been so long ago, especially the metals, they don't see it.



MR. MILLER: One thing I should say this was done as part of the ecological testing where we were evaluating the impact on the environment. What we did is we got these -- I forget the name, like a small shrimp, and another type of worm. We put those guys in with that settlement and mixed them in. We did 21 days and 28 days and measured their growth and their reproduction and whether they lived or not. And what we found is basically they were exactly the same as they did on the background levels.

And these samples that we picked were areas that had the higher concentrations. It's areas that we were concerned about.

MR. PYNES: The question is: Did you eat the shrimp?

MR. MILLER: And this is all environment. It's not human health. What I'm saying is these guys -- and they did it eat. So -- but no, as far as the human health, these numbers were -- some of them were above are shrimp levels. That's why we went through the risk assessment, based on risk assessment. It was -- for instance, on the soil it was one in a million additional cancers. And our -- the acceptable risk range we use is between one and 10,000 additional cancers and one in a million. So, it was right at the very top end of our --



I should say low end of the acceptable risk rate. So -
MS. MIRELES: Do you know if there's been

any allegations over there as far as the cancer or

whatever the case may be? Do you know?

MR. CASTILLE: Well, yeah, we had a lot of people dying there of cancer, No. 1. I got a history of 30 years over there. Okay? I have more experience than anybody in the area. Okay. And if you saw the video and the still pictures we had today that we supplied to the EPA, you would be astonished at what the city allowed and the county and the state for 40 years. Finally these people came in and stepped in. Okay. But to see a video -- I mean, daily, day after day of the sandblasting barges that you couldn't -- you know, the house would be full of benzene, styrene, every agent they used for many, many years.

Okay. But going back, we have had people die of cancer in there. They probably didn't realize it was from here. Okay. But it's -- it was one of the worst experiences of my life, you know. Of course, things have settled down. We went through litigation. You know that. Okay? And it was a very trying costly, you know, life experience, which you don't want to go through. Okay? And like I say, we got -- we supplied the EPA with about thousands of pages of



documents that we had to pay for to defend our litigation. I think \$50,000 just for, you know, obtaining all the information we could get at the time and I did supply to the EPA. These people came to the house for months on end including Gary to xerox everything we had.

experience anyone could go through. In fact, they had barges in the intercostal waterway that they would deliberately flush the barges out and all the concentrate and all the debris would go directly into the intercostal waterway. We had thousands of fishes die along Bridge Harbor in there because of that. We had people suited up in their white uniforms while they're discharging the water, extracting the water from the barges. They were all suited up, and here we are 200 feet away with no protection whatsoever. Okay? We would come home late at night after running our business. We would have to leave the house because they were venting barges all night long.

So, not only the ground contamination but the airborne was as bad as you could get for 30 years, just to give you a little background. You know. Chris knows. He's been there for many years. It was a bad deal.



MR. PYNES: The last thing that I will say, and I'll be quiet. I think -- I won't speak out of turn for the Councilwoman Mireles; but from our perspective, there's no acceptable level of risk, whether it's one part per million to one part per 10,000 for the safety of our community for the chance this could be a danger for them. That's my perspective. Why would we risk somebody's health based on somebody else's negligence? There is no acceptable level of risk for your community and our citizens out there. Lots of people live out there and vacation there. So, I just want to say that.

MR. SANTOS: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for your time. We'll provide responses to that. You know, anything else you want to say later in writing or additional comments or, you know, statements, we will take them and consider them and do an official response to them. We gave the response on some things, but we can -- we can give you a more technical or risk based response to some of the concerns that you have. All right. Thank you.

MR. CASTILLE: I'd like to thank the EPA.

They finally came in and we're getting somewhere because prior to them, we got nowhere. We'll work with them down the line.

MR. SANTOS: Thank you very much. We'll --



we can stay longer if you have questions.

MR. PYNES: It's been a long day of budget. Again, we appreciate all of you being here and taking the time to be here. And again, thank you for coming and thank you for your questions and concerns.

(Hearing concluded.)

ESQUIRE an Alexander Gallo Company

Toll Free: 800.767.9532 Facsimile: 713-234-1917

Suite 805 1001 McKinney Houston, TX 77002 www.esquiresolutions.com

	Public Meeting August 4, 201
1	COUNTY OF BRAZORIA)
2	STATE OF TEXAS)
3	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
4	EPA PUBLIC MEETING
5	AUGUST 4, 2011
6	I, the undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter
7	in and for the State of Texas, certify that the
8	contents in this transcript of the EPA meeting in the
9	foregoing pages are true and correct.
10	I further certify that I am neither attorney nor
11	counsel for, nor related to nor employed by any parties
12	to the action in which this meeting is taken and,
13	further, that I am not a relative or employee of any
14	counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially
15	interested in said action.
16	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to under my hand and
17	official seal of office, this the day of August,
18	2011.
19	
20	RHONDA RUSSO, CSR
21	No. 4852 - Expiration: 12-31-2010
22	Firm Registration No. 3 Esquire Solutions
23	1001 McKinney Suite 805

Houston, Texas 77002 Phone: 713-524-4600



24

25

Α

absentee 29:22

acceptable

15:22 17:19 40:23 41:1 43:4,9

acceptance

19:25

accountable 21:22 32:17

accumulate

39:10,12

acres 4:19 7:8 8:10

action

16:11 17:14, 16 18:10 19:10 45:12, 15

actions 39:8

activities

32:16

actual 24:21

add

5:4 36:18

additional

7:1 18:10 40:22,24 43:15

address 4:18 27:13

addressed

23:7 adjacent

22:5

ads 29:1 advisories

13:9

advisory 13:11

affect

17:2 23:18 35:16

agent 41:15

aggressive 20:20

ago

4:14 11:2,3 29:10 32:19 35:13 39:24

ahead 36:21

ahold 28:25

airborne

38:2,13 42:22

allegations 41:3

allowed 41:10

along

6:1 28:3 29:9 42:12

Also

2:18,21 3:5 6:8 7:13 13:3,815:12 16:24 18:4,18 19:25 20:16 22:24 24:24 28:4,5 29:2

33:23 34:23 Alternative

17:16,21 18:18,24 19:8,10,11, 13,18 20:4,

12,16 22:24 23:3 24:16 25:5 26:22,23 28:10

alternatives

3:24 17:14 19:18 22:22 23:2

although

21:23

aluminum

38:18 amount

39:11 analyze

18:15

announces 28:20

annual 18:8

another

8:10 12:4 24:20 25:2 28:12,15 40:5

answer 32:6,18

answers

3:10

anticipate 27:11

anybody

17:3,12 27:23 34:7 41:8

anytime

20:7

anyway

6:19 9:3 10:4 12:25 13:13 14:25 15:6,20 16:5,21 17:10 30:17 38:10

anywhere

23:12

apologize 10:14 38:10

appreciate

2:3 3:7,17 20:25 44:3

approximatel

У 4:14

area

4:3,8,9,11, 12 7:15,18 8:9,11,16 9:16 10:18, 21,23,24 11:3,16 12:15 13:11 15:13 23:11,12,13

24:11 25:24 32:14 35:9

37:8 38:4,5 41:8

areas

4:67:13,22 10:11 14:13, 15 23:6,24 31:17 34:24

40:12

ARIPSE 38:24 39:10,

Arkansas/Tex

as 2:5

19

around

10:16 12:5,10 15:24 29:3,12 31:4 36:7

arrive 21:4

assess 18:16

assessment 13:4 14:12 36:3 40:21

assessments 5:17 13:2

14:10 16:21

assistants 3:5

associated

13:6 assume

33:7 assuming

30:11

astonished 41:10

attendance 2:16

attending 2:3

attorney 45:10

AUGUST

1:10 5:2 20:25 28:7 45:5,17

Austin 28:13

available 23:20 34:5 37:1

Avenue

4:18,257:6 8:10,23 10:25

R

9:6,12,17 10:6,23

back

11:22 15:23 18:14 21:23



				47
22:1 24:4,22 25:25 31:19, 20 32:19 38:15 41:17 42:7	believe 13:10 15:2 22:23 23:5 26:21 28:19 benzene	Bradford 2:19 39:15,21 BRAZORIA 1:9 45:1 breached	39:241:12 42:443:22 cancer 39:1441:3,6,	34:22 certainly 36:18 CERTIFICATE 45:3
background 14:17 32:24 40:10 42:23	9:23 15:6 41:15 berm	16:1 Bridge	cancerous 39:7,9 cancers	Certified 45:6
backs 24:21	15:24 berms	7:16 8:2 29:16 37:3 38:3 42:12	40:23,24 cap	certify 45:7,10 chance
bad 42:22,25 balancing	16:1 besides	briefly 6:15	18:5 23:7 capped	28:25 43:6 change
19:21 ban	39:14 bets 20:19	brings 16:10 budget	17:9 carcinogens 39:5,6,7	11:15 27:12 changes 24:20
13:10 bans 13:9	between 7:12,13,15 8:49:11,13	44:3 build	card 32:12	chemical 39:18
barge 4:13,2012:10 15:5	14:16 37:3 39:15 40:24	21:12,14 23:12 building	care 26:18 30:8 Carlos	chemicals 4:2210:10 13:18,20
barges 4:21,24 15:4	big 38:4,5 biological	13:23,24,25 16:16 25:17	2:4 21:6 30:18	chief 2:53:3
33:6 38:22 41:14 42:9, 10,15,19	24:24,25 39:23	buildings 17:25 24:1 built	Carrie 2:19 case	chlorinated 4:22 6:11 9:23
based 14:12 16:12,	bit 4:10 8:21 12:7,14 18:2	13:25 16:16 18:1 20:13	13:2 25:16,18 26:21 35:11 41:4	chloroform 15:7
21 31:21 36:3 40:21 43:8,18 basically	black 7:98:12	33:8 42:18 C	CASTILLE 22:9,17 28:23	chose 28:10 Chris
8:19 12:10,15 14:11 17:23	blue 12:9	C 9:7,8,12	29:4,9,21 30:731:19 32:6,18,22	42:24 citizens
19:1,22 20:10 21:10 22:10 27:12 40:9	Bob 37:17 body	call 2:13 10:5	35:19,25 36:20 37:3,6,	43:10 City
bearing 9:4	39:12 bottom	29:13 33:9 39:6 called	8,25 38:13,21 41:5 43:21 caused	2:21,22,24 4:3 29:25 36:9,14,18
being 3:719:20 22:1324:2	10:3,624:5 26:15 brackish	8:18 came	32:1 39:22 caustics	41:10 clay
32:17 44:3	8:17,19	5:11 7:24 17:1 35:11	4:22 certain	9:11,12 17:9, 10 31:9



clean 8:4,515:23 24:10 32:8 33:12 34:4 cleaned 27:18 33:7 35:25 cleaning 3:24 4:13,20, 24 6:12 21:25 clean-up	comment 5:22,24,25 20:24 comments 2:8,10,12 3:125:25 21:128:2,6 43:15 commercial 16:1835:4 Commission	concerned 16:13 40:13 concerns 3:12 7:2 9:24 10:1 11:21 24:15 37:15 43:19 44:5 concluded 44:6 conclusion 13:4	containers 16:7 containment 18:20 19:14 contaminant 11:21 contaminants 9:22 10:9 13:6 14:18 15:22 18:2 21:13 35:20	3:4 correct 21:11 45:9 cost 19:10,24 20:15 22:24 26:14 costly 41:23 costs 19:9
3:23 5:19 6:3 21:3 22:20 33:4,12 clear 35:21	2:16 COMMUNITY 1:11 3:14 7:2 20:1 23:4 27:21 43:6,9	concrete 15:24 conditions 22:21	contaminate 32:3 contaminated 26:1 38:6	Couldn't 32:22 41:14 Councilwoman 2:25 25:23 43:3
close 30:1 31:2 closed 4:14 5:2 11:3 17:7	compare 31:15 compared 20:11 compiled	confirm 15:21 16:14 consider 5:25 13:17 21:1 26:9,10 28:2 43:16	contaminates 6:9 Contaminatio n 6:22 9:15,16, 17 10:22	counsel 45:11,14 country 29:12 30:22 31:11,15
<pre>close-up 4:5 Coast 7:17 13:7,13 coastal 27:20 35:8</pre>	33:25 completed 5:916:5 comply 19:19 components	consideratio n 22:25 consideratio ns	17 10.22 12:16 15:19 18:13 24:11 30:23 31:3, 21,23 35:22 37:13,23 38:2 42:21	COUNTY 1:9 41:11 45:1 couple 4:6 21:8 32:14
collect 16:2 collected 6:7,17,18,20 7:112:9 collecting	concentrate 13:24 42:10 concentrated 10:18 concentratio	considered 28:8 31:7 consisted 12:13 15:9 constructed	contents 15:9 45:8 continue 16:1 24:9 continues 18:22	course 4:410:4 17:1818:8 19:320:15 29:2235:13 41:21
12:20 14:24 come 10:20 20:18 42:17 coming 3:17 15:14 35:16 38:3	n 10:939:8 concentratio ns 38:840:12 concern	13:23 21:19 construction 13:16 20:10, 11 contact 39:2 contained	<pre>continuing 10:3 24:14 control 24:6 controls 17:22 19:11 23:10</pre>	court 2:9 covenants 17:24 coverage 7:11 covering
44:5	11:24 14:8,14 16:25 17:8	4:21 15:3	coordinator	38:13



				7.7
covers	David	42:1	difference	D-NAPL
4:19 7:8	2:20	degradation	14:16 30:25	25:12
crab	DAVIS	25:1	different	documents
12:8 13:4	30:9	deliberately	4:23 13:16	36:18 37:1
crabs	day	42:10	15:7 21:9	42:1
12:9	41:13 44:2	demolished	23:231:18	doesn't
create	45:17	15:11	33:2 39:15,16	27:22
10:3	days	dense	directional	doing
creating	40:6	9:25 10:5	9:2	14:25 18:9
21:10 23:21	dead	Department	directions	20:1,5 22:6
criteria	21:10 23:21	2:18 13:3	11:15	27:7 36:12,24
19:17,22	deal	depends	directly	dots
26:14	22:18 26:6	31:2	42:11	7:98:12
criterias	32:25 38:1	depth	director	10:15
19:21	42:25	15:20 37:19	3:4	Dow
crushed	debris	detected	dirt	33:20
9:9	15:12 42:11	36:6	15:23	down
	December		discharge	4:4,86:23
crustaceans	11:14	detects	19:4,5	7:19 9:6,7
12:17	decided	6:22	discharging	15:5 24:5
CSR	22:9,11	determinatio	42:14	26:6,15 35:15
45:20		n		41:21 43:23
CT	decision	27:2,3	discovered	downtime
29:25	2:14 6:2 21:2,4 22:15,	detractor	10:5	20:8
curious	16 26:3 27:5	27:25	disposal	Dr
30:9,13 32:13	28:9,11,14,	develop	15:10 33:11	2:19 39:15,21
current	18,21	23:11,16,17	disposed	drilling
13:22 33:22	decisions	35:4	15:9 33:3	34:23
currently	27:9	developed	dissolve	drinking
16:17 17:9		5:21 21:11	24:9,14	14:6 23:9
	decontaminat	23:13 34:19,	dissolved	30:23
	е	20	9:20	dry
DA	15:17	development	dissolving	6:12 11:17
32:19	decontaminat	23:18 25:7	24:16	24:21
daily	ed	didn't	distribution	
41:13	15:11,25	12:11 13:5	36:17	during 11:17 24:24
danger	deep	17:3 24:23	divide	
43:6	8:18	27:11 30:3,4,	11:10	dust
data	deeper	17 33:24		7:3,20
11:13 13:4	9:13 24:6	38:20 41:18	DNAPL 10:5 24:4,8,	dying
14:13 18:15	31:9	die	10.5 24.4,8,	41:6
27:2,5 36:25	, , ,	41:18 42:12	1 + 0 , + =	E

41:18 42:12



defend

Toll Free: 800.767.9532 Facsimile: 713-234-1917

each	5:24 6:25	exactly	 extraction	28:13,20
39:17	10:5 21:5	19:7 40:9	19:1	finally
earlier	40:25 41:1	examination	F	15:25 17:5
30:6	42:5	3:21		41:11 43:22
	ends		facilities	financially
eastern	20:25	example	15:10 32:1	45:14
6:25	enough	32:20	facility	
eat	10:12 39:8	existing	33:5	find
40:14,18		18:5 37:4	fact	12:11 18:10
ecological	environment 14:19 23:5	expanding	20:17 42:8	20:19 38:17, 20
12:12 14:10	26:14 27:19,	31:7	fair	
40:2	20 28:1 30:25	expect	7:11	fine
effect	31:4 38:25	13:5 21:4		16:25
22:4 27:22,23	40:3,16	39:2	far 4:17 13:15	fined
effective	Environmenta	expensive	4:17 13:15 14:1 18:21	32:19
19:23		9:15	20:5,18 22:20	fines
effectivenes	1	experience	38:19 40:18	31:22,24,25
errectivenes	2:17	24:9 37:10	41:3	32:15
s	EPA	41:7,23 42:8		fire
20:5,6,9	1:7 5:5 14:23		farm	3:3
effects	26:2 27:1,2,8	experienced	15:1	Firm
13:6 39:1,14,	32:8 36:11	37:25	farther	45:21
17	41:10,25 42:4	experiences	12:2 24:17	First
either	43:21 45:4,8	41:20	fast	5:14,15 9:7
12:16	equally	experiencing	24:19 35:18	17:15 19:10
elements	26:12	39:20	feasibility	23:4 29:13
14:20	especially	Expiration	5:7,9,11,18	fish
 else's	39:24	45:21	17:15 18:19	6:812:8,9,
43:8	Esquire	exposure	Federally-	20,23,24
e-mail	45:22	17:11 23:8	funded	13:2,4,6,14
34:7,8,9	evaluate	exposures	26:8	
	27:10	16:16	feet	fishes 42:12
emergency	evaluated	extend	6:23 7:12,13,	
3:4	23:2	37:20	19 8:18 9:5,	five
employed			6,715:20	18:14
45:11,14	evaluating	extent	17:7,937:19	five-year
employee	40:3	11:22	42:16	18:14 26:24
45:13	evaluation	extra	figure	27:9,10 30:16
EMS	19:17 36:14	18:25	30:8	36:9
3:4	evening	extract		fix
encouraging	2:1	18:17	filled	26:5
10:7	everybody	extracting	15:23	fixed
end	3:16 29:18	42:15	final	17:10
	30:1		6:3 21:2 27:1	



flash
31:20
flound

flounders 12:9,23

flow 9:2 11:15 12:2 24:20

35:17 37:10

flowing 11:11,12,19, 23

fluid 6:12

flush 42:10

folks 4:7 39:4

Following 5:17,20

follow-up 16:6 36:9

foot 9:9

foregoing 45:9

forever 22:18

forget

formal 2:12

former

4:8,11 5:2 8:12 10:20 17:5 37:17

forward 23:3 34:18

found 6:20 7:23

6:20 7:23,25 8:8 9:3,23 10:19 13:19 14:15 15:17 17:18 25:13, 25 30:16 40:8

four 8:4

frankly
24:15

free 10:10

free- 24:4

FREEPORT 1:9 4:3,18 27:24

freshwater 8:18

front 16:19 full

41:15 **fully**

27:10 33:10 **funded**

26:9

further 45:10,13

future 16:15 20:19 22:8 25:6

G

gallons 16:4 Gary

2:6 3:9,14 22:25 28:23 34:21 35:19 37:3 42:5

gather 27:2

gathered
36:23

gathering
27:4,8

gave 43:17

general
6:9 39:3,4

generally 30:11 31:25

getting 43:22

gill 12:19

give 32:20,24

35:20 39:17 42:23 43:18

glad 34:10

go

2:11 10:12 18:14 22:25 29:21 30:10, 12,17 36:18, 20 37:18 41:24 42:8,11

going
3:19,225:10

6:14 8:20 10:4 14:6 19:4,14 20:8 21:10,24 22:7 23:10,25 24:8,19 27:23

31:9 32:19 35:17 38:15 41:17 42:7

gone 15:18 16:9

Good 2:110:13 32:433:8

government

26:11

greater
9:20 20:6

ground

9:5 10:19 23:8 24:14 30:22 35:16, 21 36:24 37:9 42:21

groundwater

6:7 8:21,22, 24 10:1,16,22 11:7,8,9,10, 14,18,23 13:20,21 14:1,2,4 16:13,17,24, 25 17:4,22 18:8,12,19 19:1,11,13

growth 40:7

quess

2:1 3:9 30:5

Gulf2:24:47:17
13:7,12

GULFCO 1:8 3:18 4:1

5:38:14 guy

guys 40:5,17

н

12:24

hadn't 18:21 halfway

22:6 hand

45:16

handouts
34:9

happen 17:18 24:8 30:10

happened 11:4 25:21

happening 27:11

Harbor 7:16 8:2 29:16 37:3 38:3 42:13

hard 7:88:13 10:1524:10 30:831:15 39:21

Harper 33:20

hasn't 12:1 20:17 22:11 24:17

hazardous 15:3,1016:3

headed 31:5

heading 35:9

headlines
29:5,10,15

Health
2:18 13:3,5
21:13 23:5

26:13 39:1,4 40:17,19 43:8

hear 3:11 29:15

Hearing 44:6



9:25 16:19,20 7:12 27:18,22 31:3 human interest 29:14 34:17 36:7 13:15 14:20 included 3:17 37:17 38:8,20 17:15 18:19 HELD 21:13 23:5 interested 39:3,11,19 1:11 32:17 26:13 40:17, 45:15 includes 42:2,23 43:11 18 Hello 18:4,25 intrusion K 3:16 hurricane 14:8 25:9 including 35:8,11 help 18:11 42:5 keep investigatio 19:2 20:21 39:4 HVAC indicated 24:6 25:2 21:17 23:1,434:21 helping 5:7,8,16,17 11:25 25:2 kind hydraulic individuals 6:612:8,13 7:9,138:3,8 15:16 Hercules 33:23 24:24 37:9 9:8 20:9,17 32:19 33:21 I indoor investigatio 27:17 30:10 hereto 16:16 21:13 identified ns 33:21 36:7 45:14 3:22 18:3 industrial 3:20 8:21 37:8 39:17,23 12:5 high 16:18,20 17:1 identify know 10:8 11:10 35:5 5:18 14:13 involved 2:10 7:2 18:9 14:4 35:8 industries 33:5 20:12 22:2, impact 39:8 32:14 21,24 23:8,9, 14:18 35:7 iron higher 40:3 13,24 24:21 38:18 information 40:12 25:3,14,16, 5:22 6:1 13:1 implementabi issue 18,20 26:15 14:9 16:12 highly 6:214:19 lity 27:24 28:3,4, 38:5,6 27:5,8 28:9 21:4 27:14 20:14 7,929:11,12, 29:24 34:4 29:12 31:24 history implementabl 14 30:2,3,4 35:14 36:23 4:17 5:1 41:6 issued 31:14 32:1,7, 39:17 42:3 hits 5:5 31:25 11 33:3,9,19 19:24 input 36:7 issues 34:2,3,22 important 3:14 HOEY 29:10 35:14,15 19:25 inside 34:11 37:9,11,14, J 15:17 23:25 impoundment 15,25 38:2, holding 4:12 jail inspect 17,18,23 21:22 32:11 impoundments 18:7 41:2,4,14, home 4:12,255:2 Jeff 20,22,23 instance 42:17 8:12 10:20 2:21 42:2,23 8:1 13:10 homes 11:1 17:6 43:14,15 37:16 40:22 ioin 38:3 improperly 36:15 knows instead hopefully 33:3 42:24 just 21:21 22:6 21:5 improvements 5:13 6:8,14 L intercostal HOUSE 21:17 8:3,89:8,14 4:27:7 land 1:11 24:1 12:714:9,10, inch 11:13,19,20, 16:17 21:25 41:14 42:5,19 19 17:17,19 7:1,20 23,25 12:6,20 23:19 34:17 19:11 20:23 Houston 16:19 42:9,11 inches



45:23

Toll Free: 800.767.9532 Facsimile: 713-234-1917

large

22:7 26:17

4:22,236:10	43:4,9	7:10 8:13	4 37:21,22,24	10:25 16:20
last	levels	31:6,13	41:1	marsh
9:611:415:3	7:25 12:11	long	lower	8:23
18:25 19:7	14:4,17 36:2	19:23 24:12	8:7	material
30:14 43:1	40:10,20	27:15 39:24	M	17:12 23:7
late	levied	42:20 44:2	mackerel	materials
42:17	31:22 32:15	longer	13:11	9:25 25:12
lately	liable	44:1		33:3
29:15	33:10	long-term	mail 28:5 30:2	mayor
later	life	20:5 22:17		3:1
4:11,166:9	41:20,23	look	mailed	McKinney
11:16 43:14	likely	18:15 19:17,	30:4,5	45:22
laws	19:13 22:7	22 22:22 23:2	main	
19:19		25:15,18	4:19 6:10	mean
layer	line 26:6 37:14	26:7,12,19,	7:23 11:20	23:20 27:19 30:21 31:14
9:9,11,13	43:24	20	19:18 26:14,	32:12 33:1
31:9		looked	20	34:21 41:13
	liquid	7:21,24 13:16	mainly	
LDL 33:22 35:2,3	10:2 24:5	15:1	3:11 34:16	means 9:21 10:11
	list	looking	maintained	
lead	5:4,526:16	7:20 10:25	18:6	measure
7:24,25 37:22	33:17,25 34:1	21:8 22:17	maintaining	9:1
38:8,19,21	36:17	looks	32:2	measured
learns	listed	8:22 12:23	MAINTENANCE	40:7
27:23	5:15	20:5 25:1	1:8 3:19	media
least	litigation	lot	18:7,11	29:3
9:410:11	41:22 42:2	6:6,179:24	 major	meet
21:21	little	13:16 15:7	29:12	17:19 19:5
leave	4:10 8:20	19:14 21:16	making	MEETING
42:18	12:7,14 18:2	23:1,13 25:13	2:7	1:7 2:2,4,11
left	19:12 20:10	37:13 38:24		3:8,11,13
17:6 18:13	24:19 32:24	39:10,12,23	manager 2:22 3:4	5:23 28:6,12,
38:5	42:23	41:5		16 29:2 45:4,
legitimate	live	Lots	map	8,12
33:8	29:23 43:10	43:10	3:25 4:5	mention
less	lived	Lou	10:14,21	6:25 24:23
8:2,625:6	40:8	2:17	marina	mentioned
let's	located	love	37:4,17	37:17 39:13
29:13	4:1 25:17	27:19,20	MARINE	mentioning
	location	low	1:8 3:19	25:19 38:25
level 8:4 9:1	18:3	8:1 9:11	Marlin	
14:12,18	locations	12:11 36:2,3,	4:18,257:6	mercury 13:12
17.12,10	TOCACTORS	1 12 11 30 2, 3,	8:10,11,23	13.17
	•	•	1	•



message 29:17,19,20, 21
metals 6:21 35:23 38:19 39:15, 24
Mexico 4:4
Michael 2:17
middle 30:13,15
migrate 13:20
migration 9:14 11:4
Miller 2:63:16 21:1422:2, 10,13,1924:3 25:8,1127:16 29:130:2,18 33:2034:1,6 35:2,12,23 36:2,1637:5, 7,1538:7,17 39:340:1,16
million 19:15 32:23 38:12 40:22, 25 43:5
mind 39:7
Mireles 3:1 25:23 29:20 32:5 41:2 43:3
mistake 26:5

g
mitigating 21:16
mitigation 17:25 23:24 25:6,9
mix 27:22
mixed 40:6
mobile 10:12
modified 18:2
modifying 19:22
money 21:24 23:16 26:1
monitor 32:10
monitored 22:18 34:18
monitoring 8:22,2510:16 15:1317:22 18:8,1219:11 32:1536:25
Montgomery 2:18
months 11:16 42:5
most 7:19,258:7, 119:15,22 10:1719:12 22:733:22
mostly 12:23
MOTLEY 34:14,16 35:7

16:14 18:22, 23 24:19 25:13 35:18
moved 12:1 18:21 20:18 24:17
moves 11:818:10
moving 19:2 20:19 24:7 25:3 31:1,7
mud 37:14
myself 30:7
N
name 2:4 40:4
names 33:18
NAPL 10:2,5,8
<pre>nasty 39:5</pre>
nation 30:10
national 5:430:18
natural 24:25
NC2 31:13
near 13:6
<pre>necessarily 21:19</pre>
need 18:1,2,5 20:16 28:9

needed

18:8 30:5
<pre>needs 17:10 18:3,6, 17</pre>
negligence 43:8
neither 45:10
net 12:19
<pre>newspaper 28:20,24 29:1</pre>
Nicole 2:25
night 42:18,19
nine 19:17
Nobody 14:6
non-aqueous 10:2
<pre>north 4:2,258:10, 2310:25 11:10,11,20 16:20</pre>
northern 8:9 10:18
nothing 29:15 30:12
<pre>notice 11:18 28:19, 24 30:3</pre>
notified 29:18
November 15:2,8
nowhere 43:23
NPL

,	5:5 number 4:22,23 6:10 8:15 15:3 30:3 34:8 36:6 numbers 38:7 40:19
	objective 17:11
1	objectives 3:23 16:11 17:20
	<pre>observed 10:8</pre>
	obtaining 42:3
	Obviously 30:9
	offer 27:21
	offhand 33:19
	office 45:17
	official 43:16 45:17
	off-site 15:10
	Oh 33:21
	oils 4:22
	Okay 3:6 4:17 5:13 7:6 8:9,20 10:14 12:4,25 14:7,9,21 17:13 18:20 20:23 28:17,
	<u> </u>



move

mitigated
21:15,18

22 32:21 37:11 38:2 41:7,8,12, 17,19,22,24 42:17 43:12 old

old 37:4

Once

18:14 28:9

one-inch
37:22

ones 6:10 14:24

open 34:17

operate
20:7

operated
33:5,6

operating
20:7

operation
4:14,19 15:5
17:1 18:6,11
32:1

operations
19:15

operator

33:2 Option

21:9,21,23 23:21 25:10, 11 26:1 30:12 36:8

options 21:9

order
5:5 14:23
33:18 34:3,13

ordered 34:4 organic 38:19

original 22:1,21

outdoors
27:20

outside
8:14 34:23

over

4:3 6:16,20, 25 7:16,17, 19,21,23,24 8:1 10:15 11:4 12:24 13:25 16:2,16 18:1 19:12 23:7 25:14 27:13 29:21 30:10 37:18, 21,23 38:4 39:11 41:3,7

overall 27:25

oversight 14:24

owner
33:22 35:1

owners 22:5 35:5

P

pages 41:25 45:9

paid 28:19

paint 38:21

part
2:13 4:16 7:7
9:4,21 17:9,
11,13 18:4
20:1 40:2
43:5

participatin

g 3:8

particular
33:9

parties
5:614:23

26:25 31:22 34:17 36:13 45:11,14

parts
38:11

party
25:25 33:10,
15

passed
30:7

path 21:21

pathway
14:5

pay

33:12 36:13 42:1

paying
26:11.1

26:11,19 32:8,9,10,23 33:4

pays 26:15

PCBs 6:20 35:24

peak 39:12

people

2:15 21:22 28:5 29:22, 23,24 30:3,8 33:3,4,6 34:3 37:10 41:6, 12,18 42:4,13 43:10 period

5:25 20:24 **periods** 30:16

permeability
9:11 24:19

person
26:2

personally
27:19

perspective
8:3 21:20
25:23 26:4

phase

43:3,7

10:2,10 24:5 **phone**

34:8 45:24

picked 7:5 40:11

picture

12:19,22 15:1,15 16:6

pictures
41:9

piezometers
8:25

pile 23:16 place

17:24 23:25

placed
28:19

plan 5:3,20,24 18:7,11 19:6, 15 21:3 22:9

plant 20:7,8,12

plume
10:22 11:21,

24 12:1 13:20 14:1 16:13, 15,17 17:2 18:1,9,21 19:2 20:17,19 24:6,22 25:2, 17 30:25 31:4,7 34:23 35:9,20

plumes 10:19 24:10

plus 37:21 point

4:6 9:10 11:10,17 13:9 14:3,21 16:7 20:16,18 24:3 27:17 34:18 38:9

pond 8:18,19

population
13:15

positive
29:7

6:16 **potable** 14:5

poster

potential
25:15,20
30:23 33:14

potentially
5:6

pound 11:8 pounds 16:3

PR 29:13,14 practices



33:8 3:1 Q 26:1,933:24 1:7 2:2,11 3:8,11,13 37:11.12 precautions probably quality 5:22,25 20:24 38:4,6,16 34:22 24:11,13 38:1 22:1 37:2 45:4 39:21 41:18 predicated question pump reason 20:17 problem 28:24 32:6,18 17:1 19:2,3 17:2 20:1 22:8 23:17 34:14 36:20 preferred 31:18 31:13 25:17 38:24 40:14 20:4 23:3 reasons pumping process questions presence 17:3 19:1 12:1 22:3 5:14 2:9,10,11 24:4 25:14 30:24 recall 3:10,12 21:7 procurement present 38:10 purpose 44:1,5 26:9 28:8,13 3:13 5:23 7:3 receive quick project presentation 37:19 5:23 28:4 5:13 29:14 2:73:9,15 push quiet recognize prolonging presently 24:22 26:5 43:2 2:15 22:7 19:12 put recommendati R property preserve 5:22 8:3,25 8:14 17:25 on radius 21:25 10:16 11:2 22:14 22:5 25:24 29:18 12:16 13:1 press 34:16 35:1,4, recommendati 21:22 23:3 29:3 rainwater 6 37:11 25:25 29:2 16:2 ons pressure proposed 31:19 36:23 3:12 28:3 15:24 ran 5:11,20,24 40:5 4:20 recommended pretty 22:13 puts 21:3 7:11 range protect 27:2 40:23 recommending prevent 23:4 putting 20:3 9:13 16:15, rate protected 8:22 34:24 22,24 17:11 41:1 record 19:19 22:23 36:25 23:25 2:13 6:2 reach 26:21 27:4 puzzles 28:11 previous 39:12 protecting 38:6 5:21 records reaches 26:13 Pynes 36:16 6:23 primarily protection 2:21,25 3:3 38:2 red ready 26:10 42:17 21:8,20 22:4 12:9,24 2:2 printed 23:15,21 protective 28:20 referral realisticall 25:5,10,22 18:16 22:12 prior 26:23 27:15, provide 43:23 Registration 17 28:12,17, 23:15 43:13 45:21 22 29:6,17,24 priorities realize proximity 31:21 32:3, 5:4 regular 41:19 30:1 13,21 33:13, 22:16 private really DRD 16,25 36:8 31:2 related 3:13 7:8 8:12 26:18 40:14 43:1 45:11 9:8 22:4

44:2



PUBLIC

pro

relative

23:10 45:13

release
29:3

relevant

19:20

remaining

15:22

remedial

5:16 6:6 16:11 17:14

remedy

4:16 5:11 6:3 23:5 27:6,10, 13 31:6,12

remember

13:19

removal

15:8

remove 25:4

removed

4:9 15:9,11, 21 16:3 38:22

repair 18:7

repairs

4:20

report

27:3

reporter 2:9 45:6

REPORTER'S

45:3

reports 36:9

repository

34:5 36:22 37:1

representati

ves 2:23

reproduction

40:7

require 5:6 25:6

required
17:25 23:10

requirements

19:5

residential

8:5 31:1

residents 29:16 39:19

residual

resistance 21:21

resolve

29:7

respond 21:2

response

2:12,13 43:16,17,19

responses

43:13

responsibili

tу

26:5

responsible

5:6 14:22 21:24 25:25 26:3,24,25

31:22 33:9, 12,14 34:17

36:13

rest

restrict
17:24

restrictions 34:19,21,22,

25

restrictive

17:24

result

14:19 16:2

results
3:20 5:10
13:13 14:17

37:9 return

22:21

reversed

12:3

review 27:9,10 36:12

reviews

18:14

RHONDA 45:20

- -

right

4:2,85:1,23 6:5,147:15, 169:14,22

11:6,9 18:18 19:9,16 20:3,

22,24 22:6,19

24:16 30:24 33:19 35:22

36:17 37:5,7, 16 38:9 40:25

43:20

ripping

15:16

rise 13:21

risk

5:17 13:1,18, 22,24 14:2,

10,1216:21 36:3,440:20, 21,23 41:1 43:4,7,9,18

risks 3:21 14:7

Rivera 2:20

road 30:15

roll-off
16:7

RPM 2:6

running 42:18

RUSSO 45:20

S

safety

43:5

salt 9:19 14:5

sample

7:10 8:13 36:5,16 37:22

sampled

7:18

samples

6:6,16,17, 20,21,227:1,

4,11,18,19 8:1,610:9

12:8,20,23

14:25 15:21

36:6 37:18 38:11 40:11

sampling 6:8,1513:3

32:9

sand 9:8

sandblasting

7:22 41:13

SANTOS

2:1,5 3:2,6 22:11,15,20 23:19,23

25:12 26:7 27:1 28:2,15,

18 30:21 31:24 32:7

33:1,14,17

34:2,12,15, 20 35:3,10

36:11,22 43:12,25

saw

11:21 41:8

saying

18:20 40:17

says

17:17

scattered 8:15 36:5,7

scenario 25:16,19

37:24

scenarios 13:16 16:22

screening

Seagulf

33:21 **seal**

14:12

45:17 **sealed**

38:1 section

2:5 sediment

6:19 14:13 **sediments**

6:8 12:5 14:2 see



7:4,98:13 10:1512:17 13:518:17 19:2324:25 29:1132:11 35:1439:1, 14,2541:12 select 27:13 selected 6:321:3 28:1131:12, 17 selection 27:628:18 send 28:634:9 sent 29:3,17,20, 2533:18	21:6 short 2:7 3:9,14 Shorthand 45:6 Short-term 20:9 show 5:14 10:15 showing 3:17 shows 4:6 6:16 10:21 11:6 15:1 shrimp 40:4,15,20 shrink 19:3 24:7 25:3	24,25 4:1,6, 17,18,19 5:3, 14 6:2,4,7, 16,25 7:8 8:5,6 10:17 12:10 13:17, 18 14:18 16:9 17:12 18:13, 15 26:12,17, 18,20 27:6, 22,24 29:11, 18 30:11 33:11 34:4 35:12 36:24 37:1,4,10,17 sites 17:17 18:13 23:23 24:10 26:8,10,20 30:14 32:10 situation	small 10:23 12:17 23:11 37:21 39:11 40:4 smaller 9:16 10:23 24:13 soil 6:19 7:5,23 14:12 15:18, 19,20 40:22 soils 6:7 14:1 Solutions 45:22 solvents 4:23 6:11 9:20,23 somebody 26:6 43:8	spread 7:14 13:12 spring 8:3 stability 17:3 stabilizatio n 31:14 stable 16:15 31:8 standards 19:20 standpoint 26:13 start 2:26:527:12 started 3:915:2,8
<pre>September 21:5,6 Services 2:19 settled 41:21 settlement 37:16 40:6 shallow 7:4,5,23 9:4 shape 32:4 shears 15:16 shell 9:9</pre>	<pre>shut 15:5 side 4:27:17,21 8:213:7 16:18,20 sign 10:13 signed 22:16 silt 9:8 similar 18:2431:5,17 sink</pre>	31:2,16 situations 33:1 six 11:16 Sixties 38:15 size 23:11 slew 39:16 slide 5:13 11:6 slides 11:22	somebody's 43:8 somewhere 8:4 28:13 30:13 43:22 source 7:22 10:3 30:23 south 11:12 southern 7:7 speak 25:22 28:5 43:2	27:16 state 19:25:26:2 27:7,8:32:15 36:12:39:4 41:11:45:2,7 state approved 5:3 statements 43:15 state's 32:25 statistics 30:14,19
shellfish 13:10 shipped 16:9 shoot	9:25 10:2 sir 34:15 SITE 1:8 2:3,7,8, 14 3:15,18,	slips 12:10 slowly 25:13 sludge 17:6,7	<pre>specks 12:9 spell 11:17 spill 33:10</pre>	stay 44:1 step 14:14 stepped 41:12



stoppe	d
24:17	
letorac	_
storag 4:9,13	

stored 4:25 strong

32:15 **structure** 21:12,15

study 5:7,9,12,18

17:15 18:19 **stuff**

11:25 20:9 38:25 39:2,20

styrene
41:15

Subdivision 38:3,14

SUBSCRIBED 45:16

subsequently
16:8

substances
15:4 16:3

subsurface

22:1

Suite 45:23

suited

42:13,16

summarizes
5:21

summarizing
14:11

summary
2:13 17:22
SUPERFUND

1:8 2:2,5

3:18 5:4,14 18:12 19:16 26:8 29:11 31:25

supplied 41:9,25

supply 42:4

sure

12:24 15:13 18:16 25:16, 20

surface
4:11,126:7
9:511:712:6

9:5 11:7 12:6 13:21 35:9,22 37:18

Surfside 4:4

surgery
39:23

surges

surprised
29:4 37:12
38:4,16

suspend 21:17

SWORN 45:16

systems 23:25 24:2

Т

take 2:8,912:15 14:1418:10

21:9 25:13 43:16

taken

7:12 15:12,21 45:12 taking 2:4 44:4

talk

3:19,22 4:10 5:10 6:15

talked
32:13

talking 4:15 12:7

tank 4:915:1,9

tanks

4:9 15:3,11, 14,16,18,19, 24 16:4,9 23:6 32:8

TCE 15:7

technical 43:18

technology 21:16

telephone 29:22

tell 9:14

telling 11:9

tem 3:1

tends 24:22

term 19:23

testing 13:14 24:25

26:24,25 36:9 39:23 40:2

tests 12:14,18 14:15,16 Tetrachloroe thylene

6:12 TEXAS

1:9 2:16,18, 19 13:3 45:2, 7,23

Thank

2:22 3:6,16 43:12,20,21, 25 44:4,5

themselves 26:25 39:20

thick 9:9,11,12

thing 5:15 6:24 7:23 9:10,18

10:6 11:1,7, 14,20 12:7 13:19 15:17

16:12,17 18:7,12 19:18

20:14 24:3,20 25:2 26:20 40:1 43:1

things 4:23 6:21

15:7 18:25 19:7,24 22:22,25 23:1,3 24:1

27:24 28:7 30:10 37:20 38:20 41:21

43:17

think

12:15 13:11 25:23 27:16, 18,25 32:11, 20,22 34:12

35:10 42:2 43:2 thinking 20:21

thought 33:7

thousands 41:25 42:12

three

9:4,19 10:17 17:14 30:16

throughout
8:16 13:12
30:22 31:10,

15 **throw** 27:21

tide 35:8 tides 35:16

tied 33:21

tight 24:18 35:17

time 2:4 24:12 39:11 42:3 43:13 44:4

times 12:2 Tina 2:19

today 41:9

together 13:2 27:2,6 28:8 36:12

tonight 3:17 5:10

top

7:12,20 23:12 25:17 40:25



total 9:20
totally 25:4
tough 25:4
towards 11:12 38:3
town 32:4
toxic 38:19 39:6,9
toxicity 12:13,18 14:15,16
tracts 7:17 33:24
transcript 45:8
treat 19:4,526:12
treatment 19:6,15 20:8
trespassers 13:17
trichloroeth
ylene 6:11,13
true 45:9
trying 41:23
turn 43:2
TWCEP 14:23
two 7:17 9:7

type 11:25 31:6 32:12 38:19 39:8 40:5 Typically 8:5	1 1 2 v
<u>u</u>	1
ultimate 26:3	v 6 v
unacceptable 14:7	2
under 5:3 14:23 17:9 25:5	1 v
35:12 38:11	4
45:16 undersigned	v
45:6	v
underslab 21:18	4
uniforms 42:14	W
<pre>unilateral 34:3,13</pre>	w
unloaded 15:4	w
update 29:24 35:21	1 1
use 16:22,24 17:24 40:23	1 2 2 4
uses 35:5	w
<u>v</u>	4
vacation	9 7
43:11	w
vapor	2
14:8 23:24 25:8,15	w

vapors 13:23 15:14 23:25
<pre>varies 30:21 31:10, 14</pre>
various 6:21
Vasquez 2:17
VELASCO 1:11
venting 42:19
vents 21:17
video 41:8,13
W
Walker 2:19
walls 15:25
want 2:15 11:24 12:12 16:12, 14,15,22 17:3,11 23:16 25:22 28:5 29:6,13 41:24 43:11,14
wanted 4:66:24 9:10,1811:1 7,1739:18
wants 23:14
wash 4:13
washed

13:20	15:25	10 4:15 5:25
vapors 13:23 15:14 23:25	washwaters 4:24 wasn't	6:2,5 18:14 20:20 21:1 28:2,8 43:13, 23,25
varies 30:21 31:10, 14	10:12 15:14 32:24 waste	wells 8:25 10:7,8,
various 5:21	15:10 16:8 33:3	11,12,16,17 19:1 31:2 34:24
Vasquez 2:17	watched 37:12	went 7:18 40:20
/ELASCO l:11	<pre>water 6:8 9:1,4,19,</pre>	41:21 we're
renting 42:19	21 10:7,9,19 12:6 14:5,13 16:4,19 17:2	2:1 3:19,22 5:8,10 10:25
vents 21:17	20:21 23:8,9 24:15,21	16:13 18:20 20:1,21,24 22:3,17 25:19
video 41:8,13	30:22,23 35:12 36:24	43:22 west
W	37:6,13,14 38:5 42:15	11:11
Walker 2:19	waterfront 16:19	wetlands 8:11
walls L5:25	waters 4:13	We've 37:25
want 2:15 11:24 12:12 16:12, 14,15,22 17:3,11 23:16 25:22 28:5	<pre>waterway 4:27:711:13 12:6,2142:9, 12</pre>	whatever 18:23 19:20 27:14,25 28:4,10 38:15 41:4
29:6,13 41:24 43:11,14	way 6:15 21:23 22:6 25:25	whatsoever 42:17
wanted 1:66:24	30:12,17 33:21 38:14	whenever 19:3
9:10,18 11:1, 7,17 39:18	website 29:25 34:11,	whether 25:3 26:8,11,
wants 23:14	13 Welcome	13 27:3 40:8 43:4
wash 4:13	3:2 36:14 we'll	white 42:14
vashed	2:11,12 3:8,	whole
		Free: 800.767.9532 nile: 713-234-1917



vaporize

23:4,9 29:1 31:19,20

				6
13:12 37:13	y'all	20:15	2007	40
38:14 39:16	7:9 21:1	10	11:14	4:19 11:2,5
windblown	28:24 33:25	9:5 32:19	2010	18:21 38:22
7:3,20	Yeah	100	15:8	41:11
within	22:9 32:5	17:7	2011	4852
10:24 12:10	33:16 35:23	10000	1:10 45:5,18	45:21
29:18	38:7 41:5	32:20,24		5
wondering	year	40:24 43:5	21	
32:16	4:10 15:3		40:6	5
	16:6	1001	22	6:23 7:13
work		45:22	20:25	500
14:22,25 15:2 16:5 19:20	<pre>years 4:14,21 11:2,</pre>	12-31-2010	22nd	8:5,6,7
24:2 27:6,7	3,518:14,22	45:21	28:7 30:5	50000
30:17 32:9	25:14 29:10	13	25	42:2
36:13 43:23	30:14 31:8	8:25	12:13 38:1	6
	32:19 35:13	15	28	
worked 17:14	37:12 38:1,	30:14	40:6	6
	14,22 41:7,	19	3	7:12 15:20
workers	11,16 42:23,	9:6		
13:17	24	1982	3	71
worm		4:15	17:9 18:18	4:21 27:16,18
40:5			19:13 20:12,	-
worms	zero	2	16 21:23	7
12:16	7:1 19:10	2	25:5,10,11	713-524-4600
worry	zinc	7:12,19 17:21	32:23 45:21	45:24
35:9	38:18	19:8,11 20:4	30	73
	Zone	21:9,21 22:9,	4:14,208:24	9:7
worst	9:5,6,7,8,	24 23:21	11:3,418:21	77002
25:16,18 41:20 42:7	15,17 10:23	24:16 26:22,	31:8 37:12	45:23
	11:7 21:10	23 36:8 37:19	41:7 42:22	
worth	23:9,22 24:18	20	300	8
23:20	31:10 35:17	7:88:2,10	6:20	800000
Wouldn't	zoned	38:11	30000	16:2
21:25	16:18	200	9:20	805
writing		42:16		45:23
43:14	zones 9:4,12,19		33	<u> </u>
wrong	10:3,5,6,17	2000	12:8	
	24:6	4:21	4	'82
	4 T • O	200000	4	5:2
32:17				
	1	19:12	1:10 7:13	9
32:17	1	19:12 2003	-	
32:17 x			1:10 7:13 8:18 45:5	90000
32:17 <u>x</u> xerox	1	2003	1:10 7:13	



4:18	



Suite 805 1001 McKinney Houston, TX 77002 www.esquiresolutions.com